

# **Vides un ekoloģiskie ilgtermiņa pētījumi Latvijā/**

## **Long-term environmental and ecological research in Latvia**

Tuesday, 25 March 2025

Jelgavas iela 1

83RD INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC  
CONFERENCE OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA 2025

### **Book of Abstracts**

# Contents

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Jānis BIRZAKS (DU) THE LONG - TERM STOCKING OF FISH INTO LATVIA'S INLAND WATERS - SUCCESS OR FAILURE STORY?.....                                                                                                       | 3  |
| Agrita BRIEDE (LU EZTF), Lita KOREĻSKA (Rīgas Pārdaugavas pamatskola) EFEKTĪVO NOKRIŠŅU IZMAIŅU TENDENCES SAISTĪBĀ AR POTENCIĀLO BIOĢĒNO VIELU NOTECI NO ĪPAŠI JUTĪGAJĀM TERITORIJĀM.....                              | 15 |
| Krista Gabriela LIELNORA (LU EZTF), Viesturs MELECIS (LU MDzZF BI), Solvita Rūsiņa (LU EZTF), Inese SILAMIŅELE (LU EZTF) VEĢETĀCIJAS DAUDZVEIDĪBAS ILGTERMIŅA IZMAIŅAS RANDU PĻAVĀS APSAIMNIEKOŠANAS IETEKMĒ.....      | 18 |
| Viesturs MELECIS (LU MDzZF BI), Gunta SPRINĢE (LU MDzZF BI) EIROPAS ILGTERMIŅA EKOĻOGISKO PĒTĪJUMU PROJEKTI FINIŠA TAISNĒ.....                                                                                         | 19 |
| Gunta SPRINĢE (LU MDzZF BI), Elga APSĪTE (LU EZTF), Agrita BRIEDE ((LU EZTF), Ilga KOKORĪTE (LVĢMC) KLIMATA PĀRMAIŅAS LATVIJĀ: KO ZINĀM PAR TO IETEKMI UZ SALDŪDEŅU BIOTU?.....                                        | 20 |
| Jānis VENTIŅŠ (LU EZTF), Viesturs MELECIS (LU MDzZF BI) AUGSNES EHHITREĪDU (CLITELLATA, ENCHYTRAEIDAE) POPULĀCIJU ILGTERMIŅA IZMAIŅAS LATVIJAS LTER PARAUGLAUKUMOS PRIEŽU LĀNĀ ZIEMEĻVIDZEMES BIOSFĒRAS REZERVĀTĀ..... | 23 |
| Līvija ZARIŅA (AGRORESURSU UN EKONOMIKAS INSTITŪTS) LONG-TERM STUDY OF FIELD ECOSYSTEMS IN PRIEKUĻI.....                                                                                                               | 24 |

# **THE LONG - TERM STOCKING OF FISH INTO LATVIA'S INLAND WATERS - SUCCESS OR FAILURE STORY?**

**Jānis BIRZAKS**

Institute of Life Sciences and Technology, Vienības Street 13, Daugavpils LV - 5401, Latvia, e-mail: janis.birzaks@du.lv

Fish stock restoration is one of the main fisheries management measures, often used to increase or replenish stocks or compensate for their loss due to fishing, habitat degradation and/or other human activities that have transformed freshwater ecosystems. Fishery improvement is usually carried out using stock material raised in hatchery, but translocated wild fish can also be used. Stocking can also be unauthorized and/or accidental. Fish release (native or introduced species) is considered one of the oldest inland water management practices both in the world and in the territory of Latvia (Andrušaitis 1960; Welcomme 1992; Arlinghaus et al. 2002; Daupagne et al. 2021). The results of the introduction of alien fish will not be discussed here; its results and ecological impacts on fish and fisheries in Latvia have already been published previously (Birzaks&Niticis 2023).

## **Objectives and rationales of fish restocking**

The restoration of native fish stocks and the introduction of non-native fish species is a relatively old practice in fisheries management. Fish stocking and translocation are considered to be one of the most effective resources management tools. As industrial society developed in the 19th century, the negative anthropogenic impact on the aquatic environment increased, creating the need to both develop aquaculture and improve fisheries management (Nielsen, 1999; Welcomme, 2001; Lavkey, 2005; Welcomme et al., 2014) The release of artificially reared fish, together with fishing regulation and habitat restoration measures, are the most important inland fisheries management tools aimed at protecting and maintaining fish resources at desired levels (Welcomme, 2001; Lorenzen et al., 2012; Arlinghaus et al., 2016).

There are several types of restocking, depending on their purpose: 1) stock enhancement, in cases where the wild population is regularly supplemented with cultured fish, in water bodies with intensive fishing or degraded habitats; 2) rebuilding of depleted stocks by temporary releases of hatchery reared fish with aim achieve recovery effects more quickly as in natural system; 3) stock supplementation with cultured fish in very small and declining populations with aim to reduce the risk of

extinction and maintain the genetic diversity, they serves conservation purposes; 4) reintroduction and translocation are intended to introduce farmed or captured native fish species with the aim of repopulating or establishing a native population in the receiving water body for the long term, 5) for cultured fisheries and/or ranching fish are released to maximize the fishery productivity of waterbody by creating a locally adapted population that will not reproduce; these waterbodies are exploited intensively and restocked frequently (Lorenzen, 2012; Lamothe et al. 2019).

### **Species stocked in Latvian inland waters**

In total, 18 species of fish have been stocked and/or translocated in Latvian inland waters. They represented 40% of the Latvian freshwater fish fauna, which includes 42 fish and lamprey species (Aleksjevs & Birzaks, 2011): bream *Abramis brama*, eel *Anguilla anguilla*, Atlantic sturgeon *Acipenser oxyrinchus*, pike *Esox lucius*, roach *Rutilus rutilus*, rudd *Scardinius erythrophthalmus*, tench *Tinca tinca*, crucian carp *Carassius carassius*, ide *Leuciscus idus*, pike perch *Sander lucioperca*, perch *Perca fluviatilis*, burbot *Lota lota*, catfish *Silurus glanis*, whitefish *Coregonus maraena*, vendace *Coregonus albula*, salmon *Salmo salar*, trout/sea trout *Salmo trutta* and vimba *Vimba vimba*, grayling *Thymallus thymallus*, river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis*. Atlantic sturgeon are restored from their Canadian populations, in fact through introductions, but the Pan-European Action Plan for Sturgeons also foresees their recovery in the Baltic Sea basin (EC 2024).

### **Fish stocking in Latvia - historical overview and present data**

The first fish farm in Latvia was established in 1885, and a system of state-owned fish farms was set up between 1929 and 1939. After World War II, fish restocking increased rapidly, driven by hydropower development in Latvia, which included the construction of a HPS cascade on the region's largest river, the Daugava. Until 1974 the Daugava was transformed into a series of 3 reservoirs. HPS turbines operate during peak hours, altering the hydrological regime of the river with significant diurnal variations in water discharge. It has already been predicted that the runoff of nutrients and planktonic organisms into the Gulf of Riga will decrease significantly (Gaumiga, 1967), and that the composition of fish communities will change significantly both directly in the river and in the Gulf of Riga. The Daugava will completely lose spawning grounds for

diadromous fish species, resulting in significant damage to fisheries (Pischula, 1950; Malikova, 1966; Peslak, Mitans, 1991; Ryapolova, Mitans, 1991).

To prevent and/or mitigate these losses, existing fish farms were reconstructed, and new ones were built. In total, 8 hatcheries operated in the four largest river basins in Latvia between the 1980s and 2000s, with the main objective of rearing juvenile diadromous fish for restocking. As a compensatory measure, HPS reservoirs restocking was also carried out by pike perch and bream, as well as introduced species. The planned number of juvenile releases was not achieved, but most of the state-owned hatcheries are still in operation.

The main scope of fish stocking is determined by the National Fish Stocking Plan (NFSP), which sets the number of fish to be released by species into publicly available rivers, lakes and reservoirs. The current plan will release about 19 million fish larvae and juveniles between 2025 and 2028, at a cost of around €2.3 million per year. The annual releases are expected to include 0.8 million salmon and sea trout smolts, 0.7 million one summer old vimba and pikeperch, 5 000 juvenile Atlantic sturgeon and 17 million river lamprey larvae and translocation and/or stocking 3 t of adult lamprey.

Glass eel restocking is carried out under the Latvian Eel Stock Management Plan (<https://www.zm.gov.lv/lv/latvijas-nacionalais-zusu-krajumu-parvaldibas-plans-2009-2013gadam>) and continued until 2019, with a total of 5.2 million glass eels released since. It should be noted that the restocking of this species is not intended to support any fisheries (EK) Nr. 1100/2007).

Some of the juvenile fish for restocking are bought from private fish farms. Municipalities can receive financial support from the State by submitting projects, for example, for pike stocking. Fish stocking has been carried out in an unauthorized way, both intentionally and unintentionally and/or accidentally.

### **Distribution, catches and abundance of stocked species**

From the 1970s onwards, fish stocking increased in Latvia to compensate for losses to fisheries or to replenish and/or move fish species to water bodies where they were previously absent to create new stocks. Each year, 10-12 native species were released into Latvia's inland waters, declining to 6-8 species in recent years. Of these, salmon, sea trout, pike, river lamprey, vimba and pike perch have been released regularly for at least 30- 40 years.

Since the 1974, Latvia's most economically important **salmon** population (in the river Daugava), which accounted for about 90% of salmon catches, has been of hatchery origin, as river connectivity was lost. However, in the 1980-90s, the release of reared salmon smolts compensated for this loss, and salmon catches in coastal fisheries reached over 100 t/year in 1983-91, but declined several times, and have been only 10 t/year since 2003.

The largest landings of **river lamprey** were made between 1961 and 1977, before and shortly after the finalizing construction of the Daugava HPS cascade, reaching 400 t, most of which were taken in the river Gauja. From 1978 onwards, the lamprey catch declined several times, reaching a minimum of 8 t in 1980. From 1986 it increased again and stabilized at 100 t, but in the last decade it is back down to only 50 t per year, i.e. the lamprey catch in the Gauja has continued to decline. Before the loss of the Daugava connectivity, 90% of Latvia's river lamprey catches were made in the neighboring Gauja, but this has now dropped to 30%.

**Sea trout** is the most widespread diadromous fish species in Latvian rivers. It is found in all major rivers and their tributaries (except the Lielupe basin and its rivers), as well as in medium and small rivers and streams flowing into the Gulf of Riga and Baltic Sea Main Basin and has been recorded in 393 streams so far. Its regular introduction started in 1985, mainly in the rivers and streams at Daugava, Gauja, Salaca and Venta basins (27 watercourses in total). Its catches for the long period 1946-2003 were relatively stable at an average of 9 t/year, but despite stocking efforts, they declined from 2003 to an average of 6 t/year.

The **vimba** catches before river Daugava transformation were 56 t/year, they decreased significantly between 1979 and 1983 and averaged only 22 t. However, afterwards they stabilized and are around 69 t/year. It should be noted that part of its catch is taken in the Estonian part of the Gulf of Riga, where catches are approximately the same as in Latvia (Peslak, Mitans, 1991).

Non-migratory fish stocking activities in lakes and reservoirs peaked in the 1980s, when they were carried out in water bodies with a total area of 50-80 thousand ha/ year and have now declined to 30-50 thousand ha/year. However, total non-migratory fish catches have declined from 600 t in the 1950s-1980s to 260 t from the 1990s onwards. Reported angling catches have been stable, averaging 135 t/year since 2007, with angler surveys suggesting catches of around 1600 t/year (61% of the total catch in inland waters) (Birzaks 2007).

According to fishing, stocking and monitoring data, the distribution of some species in Latvian inland waters has changed because of stocking efforts. The distribution area of the pikeperch in Latvia has increased mainly because of translocation since the 1960s and has continued to increase (Aleksjevs, Birzaks, 2008). Data from introductions, fishing and monitoring showed that it also spread naturally, migrating along the rivers linking the lakes. Pikeperch catches have increased several times, reaching 30-40 t/year. Since the 1980s, they have been caught in 121 lakes and 4 reservoirs, as well as in major rivers. Stable naturally reproducing pikeperch populations have existed for more than 10 years in 45 lakes and 4 reservoirs covering a total area of 43 and 10 thousand hectares respectively.

The distribution of **catfish**, originally found in the Daugava River, has also increased (Aleksjevs, Birzaks 2011). It is now also found in 11 lakes, some of which have established small self-reproducing populations. Monitoring data suggests that the species has also been introduced in an "unauthorized".

**Pike** are the most widely released fish species in Latvian inland waters. Its natural range is the whole country, and it can be found in 92% of standing waters - lakes and reservoirs. Since 1946, its catch has not changed significantly over time and amounts to 64 t per year. Pikes were released in 310 lakes, 32 reservoirs, covering 78 and 11 thousand hectares respectively, and 12 rivers. Since 2000, pike catches in angling have increased to 47 t, or 58% of the total. Efforts to supplement pike stocks have not increased pike catches.

The release of cultured **whitefish**, **grayling** and **trout** has proved ineffective, as no new self-sustaining populations have developed and/or the distribution of these species has not increased.

### **Pros and cons of fish stocking**

Fish stocking is one of the main tools for managing inland fisheries, with the aim of improving the composition and quality of catches to obtain long-term economic and social benefits.

However, research results show that only a small proportion of stocking programs have had a tangible long-term expected effect (Cowx, 2004; Arlinghaus et al., 2015).

It can also have multiple negative impacts on native fish species. Cultured fish released into the wild differs from wild specimens in morphology, behavior, physiological, genetic and other characteristics. Those that are genetically based can accumulate in a

population (Brown, Day, 2002; Lorenzen et al., 2012). The reproductive biology of cultured fish often differs from wild ones, especially in the case of salmonids, creating risks of interbreeding and loss of genetical integrity and diversity of population.

The precautionary principle should be considered in the planning and implementation of stocking. However, there are exceptions where fish stock restocking is the only option for recovery and/or conservation of fish stocks, e.g. to address the effects of anthropogenic alterations to the River Daugava. It is also necessary to create new benefits for fisheries and to introduce and exploit fisheries in small water bodies (Arlinghaus et al., 2003), to restore lost populations of protected fish species (so-called repatriation) (Lamothe, Drake, 2019), to rebuild fish communities after their extinction or accidental mass mortality (Bryson et al., 1975).

Success of stocking is mostly determined by size - dependent mortality of stocked fish (Cucherousset et al., 2007; Haugen et al., 2007). In the 1950s-1970s in Latvia, stocking was practiced by releasing fertilized eggs, larvae and salmon parr. In contrast, with improvements in hatchery technology from the 1980s onwards, juveniles for release are reared to at least one summer old (fingerlings), while salmon and sea trout are reared to 1- and 2-year-old smolts and condition. Only river lamprey is released at the larval stage.

However, there are few or no fishery results for the effectiveness of these improvements. At present, the river Daugava has an artificial salmon and sea trout populations, its salmon and sea trout populations survival depends on the cultured smolts release. In two other large Latvian rivers, the Gauja and the Venta, salmon and sea trout populations have been supplemented in the long term with artificially reared juvenile salmon. Their numbers substantially exceed than the natural salmon smolt production in these rivers. As the fin clipping results show, adult salmon and sea trout broodstock in the rivers Daugava, Gauja and Venta rivers in Latvia is currently mixed or farmed origin with share of cultured of salmon and sea trout adults accordingly 59-99 % and 37- 100% (Medne et al., 2019).

During the rearing process (selection of broodstock, fertilization of eggs, incubation, long-term holding of juveniles in rearing facilities, etc.), selection may have been applied to the reared juveniles in generations, which has a corresponding effect on broodstock in long- term. In general, the artificial salmon smolt production in Latvian rivers has been many times higher than the wild salmon smolt production for a long time. In any case, catches of targeting artificially restocked salmon populations in

Latvia declined over the years, suggesting that the post smolt survival of cultured smolts into the wild has declined significantly. Latvia's share of farmed salmon releases into the Baltic Sea is 16%, while its share of catches is only 1% of the total. This also confirms our hypothesis about the low efficiency of salmon releases in Latvia. The situation has not improved since the ban on salmon fishing in the southern Baltic Sea since 2022. Further stocking of farmed salmon and possibly sea trout in the Baltic Sea basin may be limited or considered limited and/or undesirable for the protection of their natural stocks (Palme et al., 2012).

Similarly, data from the river lamprey fishery show a long-term decline of catches. This change was also due to the increase in cod stocks in the Eastern Baltic. They reduced lamprey prey stocks (herring, smelt, sprat, and stickleback) and increased the natural mortality of lamprey during their marine lifetime (Birzaks et al., 2011). From 1986 lamprey stocks in Latvia increased again and catches stabilized at around 100 t/yr, but in the last decade it has been as low as 50 t/yr, however, in the river Gauja it continues to decline.

The construction of the Daugava HPP cascade disrupted the river's connectivity, while the operation changed the hydrological regime. Instead of natural seasonal fluctuations, the discharge of the river was replaced by drastic diurnal fluctuations, resulting in a decrease in the number of adult lampreys entering the neighboring river Gauja. Research has shown that homing in lampreys is not as pronounced and conservative as in other diadromous species, and that their entry into rivers is largely determined by the hydrological and meteorological conditions at the time (Ryapolova, 1970, Valtonen, 1980).

In the 1950-1960s, adult river lamprey tagging experiments showed that their mortality in the Gauja River fishery was 50% of the spawning stock. Based on a simple adult-progeny relationship model, it was predicted that at least 40 t of adult lamprey (of this 20 t females) would be the optimal amount to ensure productive lamprey generations in the Gauja (Ryapolova, 1972).

In contrast, experiments with lampreys overwintering in a hatchery show that 100 hatchery females produce 660 000 self-burrowing larvae, compared to three times fewer during natural spawning (Ryapolova, Mitans, 1991). Accordingly, successful lamprey restocking in the Gauja River would require at least 7 to 10 times more self-burrowing larvae than is currently the case for the entire.

The catches of sea trout and vimba are stable, while their catches are stable, while their harvest, which was strictly limited or even banned in the 1980s, has increased. This is an indication of their successful reproduction in neighboring rivers, which largely compensates for the loss of spawning and nursery areas in the rivers of the Daugava basin (Peslak, Mitans, 1991).

Pike, the most widespread fish species in lakes and reservoirs (92% of them), have been released into more than 300 lakes, rivers and reservoirs in Latvia. Pike fingerlings are released annually into water bodies where pike populations already exist. Scientific studies have mostly concluded that stocking pike fry or fingerlings as supplement native pike populations has only short-term effects and not population additive effects. Only the release of adult pike (> 25 cm) gives a higher yield compared to the release of smaller pike (fry or fingerlings), but this is also not long-term, pike population densities are decreasing to the level of ecosystem carrying capacity (Guilleraut et al., 2018).

In Latvia, pike stocking is set as an annual action in the NFSP to supplement fish resources in publicly accessible water bodies. In Latvia, pike stocking is set as a regular action in the NFSP to supplement fish resources in publicly accessible (most of inland waters in Latvia) waterbodies. Pike stocking is a popular management measure at municipalities and anglers NGO's level. Scientific background of these programs implementation mostly contains only recommendation for number, size and season of juveniles to be released.

However, this is often driven by a "deeply rooted belief among stakeholders that stocking elevates stock size and catches" and it does; "it has become a ritualized habit" (Cowx, 1994; Arlinghaus, 2015; Guilleraut et al., 2018). Release is often not determined by ecological or fishery considerations but by the availability of juveniles on the market. Juvenile pikes can usually be obtained by rearing them in polyculture in ponds with carp, their sale yields surplus income. Pike stocking material in Latvia is relatively readily available.

Scientific studies have in most cases concluded that stocking of pike fry or fingerlings where native pike populations exist has only short-term effects but no population additive effects. The usefulness of these measures for fisheries as such is also questioned (Westman et al., 1982; Daupagne et al., 2021). In contrast, stocking adult pike (>25 cm) gives an increase in harvest compared to smaller size (fry or fingerlings), but this is also not long lasting. However, pike population densities later decrease to a size determined by ecosystem capacity, increasing pike harvest for a short time

(Guillerault et al., 2018). Vegetation is particularly important for pike in all life stages (Nilson et al., 2023), which largely determines the carrying capacity of a water body. In fact, this suggests that stocking has often been carried out without aim and without hope of success. Introducing pike into water bodies where the species is not present (quarries, small water bodies in put-and take fisheries, etc.) is often successful (Johnston et al., 2015).

The alternative of pike stocking is habitat restoration activities, provided availability of suitable habitat for all life stages (Engstedt et al., 2018).

The pikeperch is the only regularly released native species that has achieved the management target of establishing new stocks. Both its distribution and catches have increased. Since 1992, the species has been found in 54 lakes and 5 reservoirs but is caught and/or fished in 51 lakes (27 lakes in the 1950s). Most of their catches in Latvia are taken from lakes with artificial populations. In Latvian conditions, the suitable for pikeperch are about 100 eutrophic lakes with an area  $S > 70$  ha and water transparency  $< 1$  m, as well as potential spawning sites on hard-bottom substrates. Scare populations have also established in less eutrophic lakes. In hypertrophic lakes, where periodic low oxygen levels are observed, their stocking has failed (Aleksejevs, Birzaks, 2009; Aleksejevs, Birzaks, 2011).

Successful stocking pikeperch was driven by a number of factors, but mainly by increasing eutrophication of inland waters and climate change. There has been a northward shift in the distribution range of the pikeperch as a result of climate change (Westman et al., 1982; Karas, Sandström, 2002; Pecam- Hekin et al., 2011).

It should be noted that as an open water predator, the pikeperch exploits an ecological niche in the pelagic zone of lakes, where it has no food competitors and predators. It can affect fisheries by preying on juveniles of other fish species perch, smelt, and vendace (protected species by 92/43 EEC), vector of fish diseases and parasites spread (Kangur et al., 2007; Kangur et al., 2020). In Latvia, the translocation of pikeperch has resulted in the establishment of pikeperch populations in four lakes ( $S=12.5$  thousand ha) with natural populations of vendace representing 72% of their distribution area.

Both pike and pikeperch have been widely used (alone and together) in biomanipulations to improve water quality in lakes by reducing planktivorous fish stocks. However, the effectiveness of these stockings has rarely had the desired effect or has been obtained for a short period of time. It is more successful when carried out

simultaneously with nutrient loads reduction and ameliorative removal of undesirable species (Lappalainen et al., 2013; Bennes et al., 2015).

## Conclusions

Stocking within the NFSP, was created and performs tasks whose scientific rationale was already formulated in the 1970s. The introduction of diadromous species has been stable over a period of 40 years, the quality of size at age related stocked fish has been improved. While, the stocking effect in fisheries is currently negligible or cannot be demonstrated using fishery data.

The effectiveness of introductions of native non-migratory species has also not been successful and the economic viability and potential negative impacts another fish stocks, protected species, etc. have not been assessed.

It is important to note that the Daugava, the largest river in Latvia, is blocked by the HPP cascade and restoring connectivity is not feasible in the near future. The potential of the other rivers (in terms of reproductive area and potential production of juveniles and smolts) can only partially compensate for the damage to fisheries.

In our view, the management of stocking needs to be improved, especially in terms of decision-making and overall strategy, as well as data collection (especially angling data), monitoring of results and scientific efforts.

## References

- Aleksejevs E., Birzaks J. (2009) Izmaiņas zandarta *Sander lucioperca* (L.) izplatībā Latvijas iekšējos ūdeņos. [Changes in distribution of pike-perch in inland waters of Latvia]. Klimata mainība un ūdeņi. LU 67.zinātniskā conference. Rīga. 10-15. In Latvian
- Aleksejevs E., Birzaks J. (2011) Long-term changes in the ichthyofauna of Latvia's inland waters. Sc. Journal of Riga Techn. Univ. Environmental and Climate Technologies, 13 (7): p.9 - 18.
- Andrušaitis G. (1960) Zivju savairošana un aklimatizācija Latvijā. Latvijas PSR iekšējo ūdeņu zivsaimniecība IV., Rīga: LPSR Zinātņu akadēmija, lpp. 41 - 70.
- Arlinghaus R., Mehner T., Cowx I. G. (2002) Reconciling traditional inland fisheries management and sustainability in industrialized countries, with emphasis on Europe. Fish and Fisheries 3 (4), 261-316 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-2979.2002.00102.x>
- Arlinghaus R., Lorenzen K., Johnson B. M., Cooke S. J., & Cowx I. G. 2015. Management of freshwater fisheries: addressing habitat, people and fishes. Freshwater fisheries ecology, 557-579.

- Arlinghaus R., K. Lorenzen, B.M. Johnson, S.J. Cooke and I.G. Cowx. 2016. Management of freshwater fisheries. pp 557-579. In: J.F. Craig (ed.). *Freshwater fisheries ecology*. Chichester, U.K.: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Bernes C., Carpenter S.R., Gårdmark A., Larsson P., Persson L., Skov C., Speed J.D.M. and Donk E.V. (2015) What is the influence of a reduction of planktivorous and benthivorous fish on water quality in temperate eutrophic lakes? A systematic review. *Environ. Evid.* 4:1-28.
- Birzaks J. (2007) Latvijas iekšējo ūdeņu zivju resursi un to izmantošana. Latvijas zivsaimniecības gadagrāmata 2007. 11.gads. Rīga, 66 - 82 lpp.
- Birzaks J., Abersons K. (2011) Anthropogenic influence on the dynamics of the river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* landings in the river Daugava basin. *Scientific Journal of Riga Technical University. Environmental and Climate Technologies*, 13 (7): 32 - 38. DOI: 10.2478/v10145-011-0025-z
- Birzaks J., Abersons K., Baranova T. (2011) Long term dynamics of river lamprey fishery in Latvia. 2011 Annual Science Conference, Gdansk, Poland. CM 2011/D:17. <https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.25038584>
- Birzaks J., Nitcis M. 2023. The importance of Natura 2000 sites and their management for the conservation of freshwater fish, lamprey and crayfish in Latvia. *Acta Biol. Univ. Daugavp.*, 23(1): 41–57. [https://doi.org/10.59893/abud.23\(1\).004](https://doi.org/10.59893/abud.23(1).004)
- Bryson W.T., Lackey R.T., Cairns J. Kenneth L. (1975) Restocking after fishkills as a fisheries management strategy. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 104 (2): 256 - 263.
- Brown C., & Day R. L. (2002) The future of stock enhancements: lessons for hatchery practice from conservation biology. *Fish and Fisheries*, 3(2), 79-94.
- Cowx I.G. (1994) Stocking strategies. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 1, 15-30.
- Cucherousset J., Paillisson J.-M., Roussel J.-M. (2007) Using PIT technology to study the fate of hatchery-reared YOY northern pike released into shallow vegetated areas. *Fish. Res.* 85:159-164
- Daupagne L., Rolan-Meynard M., Logez M. & Argillier C. (2021) Effects of fish stocking and fishing pressure on fish community structures in French lakes. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*. 28(4), 317-327. DOI: 10.1111/fme.12476.
- Guillerault N., Hühn D., Cucherousset J., Arlinghaus R., Skov C. (2018) “Stocking for Pike Population Enhancement.” *Biology and Ecology of pike*. Eds. Skov C., Nilsson A. CRC Press, 2018, pp. 215- 247.
- European Commission: Directorate-General for Environment, A plan to save sturgeons in Europe – Messages to stakeholders in sturgeon conservation based on the pan-European action plan for sturgeons, Publications Office of the European Union, 2024, <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2779/354157>
- Gaumiga R. (1967) O rolji Daugavi v popolnienje zapasov vesennenerestujusih rib (On the role of the Daugava in enhancement of spring- spawning fish stock). In: Poljakov M. N. *Ribohozjaistvennije issledovanija v baseinje Baltijskogo morja*, 3. pp. 197 - 204
- Engstedt O., Nilsson J., Larsson P. (2018) “Habitat Restoration – A Sustainable Key to Management.” *Biology and Ecology of pike*. Eds. Skov C., Nilsson A. CRC Press, 2018, pp. 248 - 268.
- ICES. 2023. Baltic Salmon and Trout Assessment Working Group (WGBAST). *ICES Scientific Reports*. 5:53. 451 pp. <https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.22800983>
- ICES. 2024. Baltic Salmon and Trout Assessment Working Group (WGBAST). *ICES Scientific Reports*. 6:42. 425 pp. <https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.25868665>
- Johnston F., Beardmore, B., Riepe, C., Pagel, T., Hühn D. and Arlinghaus R. (2015) Kosten- Nutzen praxisüblicher Besatzmaßnahmen am Beispiel von Hecht und

- Karpfen. Pp. 95 - 111. In: R. Arlinghaus, E.-M. Cyrus, E. Eschbach, M. Fujitani, D. Hühn, F. Johnston, T. Pagel and C. Riepe (eds.). Hand in Hand für eine nachhaltige Angelfischerei: Ergebnisse und Empfehlungen aus fünf Jahren praxisorientierter Forschung zu Fischbesatz und seinen Alternativen. Berlin, Germany: Berichte des IGB.
- Kangur P., Kangur A., Kangur K. (2007) Dietary importance of various prey fishes for pikeperch *Sander lucioperca* (L.) in large shallow lake Võrtsjärv (Estonia). *Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Biol. Ecol.*, 2007, 56, 2, 154-167
- Kangur K., Park Y.S., Kangur A., Kangur P., Lekk S. (2007) Patterning long-term changes of fish community in large shallow Lake Peipsi. *Ecological Modelling*, 203: 34 - 44
- Kangur K., Ginter K., Kangur A., Kangur P., Möls T. (2020) How Did the Late 1980s Climate Regime Shift Affect Temperature-Sensitive Fish Population Dynamics: Case Study of Vendace (*Coregonus albula*) in a Large North-Temperate Lake. *Water* 12, 2694; doi:10.3390/w12102694
- Karas P., Sandström A. (2002) Effects of eutrophication on Y-O-Y freshwater fish communities in coastal areas of the Baltic. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 63:89-101.
- Lamothé K. A., Drake A. R. (2019) Moving repatriation efforts forward for imperilled Canadian freshwater fishes *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*. 76: 1914 - 1921. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0295>
- Lappalainen, J., Vinni M. and Malinen T. (2013) Consumption of crucian carp (*Carassius carassius* L., 1758) by restocked pike (*Esox lucius* L., 1758) in a lake with frequent winter hypoxia. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 29(6):1286-1291.
- Lorenzen K., Beveridge M. C. M. & Mangel M. (2011). Cultured fish: Integrative biology and management of domestication and interactions with wild fish. *Biological Reviews* 87, 639–660. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00215.x
- Lorenzen K. (2014) Understanding and managing enhancements: why fisheries scientists should care. *J. Fish Biol.* 85:1807-1829.
- Malikova E. M. 1966. K voprosu o sohranenii zapasov i promisla cennih porod rib v svjzji s zaregulirovanijem Daugavi. (On the issue of hydro-engineering of valuable fish stocks in the Daugava). In: Poljakov M. N. Ribohozjaistvennije issledovanija v baseinje Baltijskogo morja, 1, pp.61 - 75.
- Mangel M. (2012) Cultured fish: integrative biology and management of domestication and interactions with wild fish. *Biological Reviews* 87, 639–660. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00215.x
- Malikova E. M. (1966) K voprosu o sohranenii zapasov i promisla cennih porod rib v svjzji s zaregulirovanijem Daugavi. (On the issue of hydro-engineering of valuable fish stocks in the Daugava). In: Poljakov M. N. Ribohozjaistvennije issledovanija v baseinje Baltijskogo morja, 1, pp. 61–75. (In Russian).
- Nilsson P.A., Ranåker L., Hulthén K, Nilsson-Örtman V., Brönmark C., Brodersen J. (2023) First-season growth and food of YOY pike (*Esox lucius*) are habitat specific within a lake. *Fisheries Res* 259: 106563.
- Palmé A., Wennerström L., Guban P., Laikre L. (editors) (2012) Stopping compensatory releases of salmon in the Baltic Sea. Good or bad for Baltic salmon gene pools? Report from the Baltic Salmon 2012 symposium and workshop, Stockholm University February 9–10, 2012. Davidsons Tryckeri, Växjö, Sweden.
- Pekcan-Hekim Z., Urho L., Auvinen H., Heikinheimo O., Lappalainen J., Raitaniemi R., Soderkultalahti P. 2011. Climate Warming and Pikeperch Year-Class Catches in the Baltic *AMBIO* 0:447–456

- DOI 10.1007/s13280-011-0143-7 Peslak J., Mitans A. (1991) Sostojanije jestestvennogo I zavodskogo vosproizvodstva sirtji v basseine Rizskogo zaljiva (The state of the Vimba stock in the Gulf of Riga: natural reproduction and cultivated restocking). In: Akvakultura v Pribaltike, Riga, pp. 75 - 83 (In Russian)
- Pischula G.V. (1950) Putji razvitija ribnovo hozjaistva v Latvijskoj SSR (Directions for fisheries development in the Latvian SSR). The bulletin of the University of Leningrad, 8, pp. 42 - 56. (In Russian).
- Purvina S., Medne R., Bajinskis J., Kondratjeva N. 2019. Success of long-term salmonid restocking in Latvia. Environmental and Experimental Biology 17: 31–32
- Ryapolova, N. Results of Tagging of River Lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis* L.) in the River Gauja (1970). In: Papers of Baltic Fisheries Research Institute (BaltNIIRH). Zvaigzne, Riga, 1970, vol. 4, p. 379 - 389. (in Russian)
- Ryapolova N. (1972) East Baltic river lamprey. Zinātne, Rīga, 1972, pp. 41
- Rjapolova N., Mitans A. (1991) Biologiceskije preposilki I biotehneskije osnovi zavodskovo vosproizvodstva pecnoi minogi *Lampetra fluviatilis* L. (Biological and biotechnical justification for the cultivation of the river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* L.). In: Akvakultura v Pribaltike, Riga, pp. 83 - 99 (In Russian)
- Sjöberg K. (2011) – River lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* (L.) fishing in the area around the Baltic Sea – J. North. Stud. 5:51-86.
- Sjöberg K. (2013) Fishing gear used for river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* (L.) catches. Documenting rivers that flow into the Baltic Sea. Part II, Finland, Latvia and Estonia – J. North. Stud. 7 7-74
- Valtonen, T. European River Lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) Fishing and Lamprey Populations in some Rivers Running into Bothnian Bay, Finland (1980). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 1980, vol. 37, No. 11, p. 1967-1973.
- Welcomme, R.L. (1992) Introductions and transfers of aquatic species. ICES mar. Sci. Symp. 194, pp. 3-14
- Welcomme, R.L. (2001) Inland Fisheries: Ecology and Management. Fishing News Books, Blackwell Science, Oxford 358pp. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470995693>
- Westman K., Eskelinen U., Tuunainen P., Ikonen E. (1982) A REVIEW OF FISH STOCKING IN FINLAND. Proceedings of the Symposium on Stock Enhancement in the Management of Freshwater Fisheries, (Budapest, Hungary, 31 May – 2 June), pp 252 - 268

## EFEKTĪVO NOKRIŠŅU IZMAIŅU TENDENCES SAISTĪBĀ AR POTENCIĀLO BIOĢĒNO VIELU NOTECI NO ĪPAŠI JUTĪGAJĀM TERITORIJĀM

**Agrita BRIEDE<sup>1</sup>, Lita Koreļska<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Ģeogrāfijas nodaļa, LU Eksakto zinātņu un tehnoloģiju fakultāte, e-pasts: agrita.briede@lu.lv

<sup>2</sup> Rīgas Pārdaugavas pamatskola, e-pasts: lkorelska@edu.riga.lv

Nozīmīgākie dabiskie faktori, kas nosaka barības vielu noteci no lauksaimniecībā izmantojamām zemēm ir topogrāfija, augšnes īpašības, nokrišņu daudzums un

veģetācija, savukārt antropogēnie faktori galvenokārt ir saistīti ar lauksaimniecības praksi, piemēram, mēslojuma lietošanu, zemes lietojuma veida izmaiņām, augsnes eroziju u.c. Šo abu faktoru mijiedarbība, un bieži vien cilvēka darbība pastiprina barības vielu noteci no lauksaimniecībā izmantojamām zemēm. Līdz ar to efektīvai zemes apsaimniekošanas praksei visbiežāk ir izšķiroša nozīme barības vielu noteces samazināšanā un ūdens kvalitātes uzlabošanā.

Pētījuma uzdevums - izvērtēt efektīvo nokrišņu raksturu Latvijas Īpaši jutīgajās teritorijās, par pamatu ņemot ilggadīgos vidējos rādītājus 30 gadu periodā (1990.-2019.) no meteoroloģisko novērojumu datus, kas atspoguļo patreizējo situāciju.

Efektīvie nokrišņi (angļu val. „*precipitation surplus*”) ir uz zemes nonākošo nokrišņu daļa, kas potenciāli noteces rezultātā var aizplūst no teritorijas un ietekmēt nitrātu un sulfātu ieskalos ūdenstecēs (Farming practices in relation to water pollution risks ..., 2011). Efektīvo nokrišņu lielumu pozitīva vērtība nozīmē, ka izkritušo nokrišņu ir vairāk par to nokrišņu daļu, kas pie dotajiem klimatiskajiem apstākļiem var iztvaikot vai var tikt uzņemts ar augiem. Savukārt efektīvo nokrišņu negatīvas vērtības nozīmē, ka potenciālā iztvaikošana un ar augiem uzņemtais nokrišņu daudzums ir lielāks par faktiski izkritušajiem nokrišņiem. Līdz ar to pie pozitīvām efektīvo nokrišņu vērtībām pastāv risks, ka no teritorijas kopā ar nokrišņu pārpalikumu tiks aizskaloti nitrāti un fosfāti, bet pie negatīvām vērtībām – šāds risks nepastāv. Iepriekš minētajā pētījumā atzīmēts, ka aprēķinātie efektīvo nokrišņu lielumi var kalpot kā sākotnējais indikators, nosakot slēgtos periodus attiecībā par lauku mēslošanu. Arī tajos gadījumos, kad nokrišņu daudzums nepārsniedz evapotranspirāciju, pastāv noskalošanās risks. Tas ir saistīts ar zemām gaisa temperatūrām ziemā, kad izkritušie nokrišņi uzkrājas sniega veidā un pavasarī, straujas kušanas rezultātā, tiek noskaloti ūdenstecēs, kā arī sausuma periodos vasarā.

Pēc EK Vides ģenerāldirektorāta veiktā pētījumā (Review and further differentiation...2011) Eiropas teritorija sadalīta 13 zonās pēc klimatiskajiem apstākļiem. Saskaņā ar šo pētījumu Latvijas teritorija atrodas nemorālajā klimatiskajā zonā, kurā ietilpst Skandināvijas dienvidu daļa, Baltijas valstis un Baltkrievija. Par pamatu šādu klimatisko zonu izdalīšanai tiek ņemti teritorijas klimatiskie faktori – gaisa temperatūra un atmosfēras nokrišņi, kā arī teritorijas ģeogrāfiskais novietojums. Informācija par katras zonas klimatiskajiem apstākļiem tiek izmantota, lai noteiktu nitrātu un fosfātu izskalošanās un noteces no lauksaimniecības zemēm riskus attiecīgajās zonās, kā arī sniegtu rekomendācijas zemkopībā izmantojamajām metodēm

šī riska mazināšanai. Šajā metodikā (*Farming practices in...* 2011) lielākā daļa Latvijas teritorijas iekļauta vienā klimatiskajā apakšzonā un mēnešu efektīvo nokrišņu lielumi tiek noteikti kā vidējais rādītājs visai teritorijai kopumā. Tomēr Latvijas teritorijā raksturīgas atšķirības gan gaisa temperatūras, gan atmosfēras nokrišņu sadalījumā, līdz ar to ir iespējamās efektīvo nokrišņu novirzes no vidējā rādītāja reģionālā griezumā un visai teritorijai kopumā. Turklāt jāņem vērā, ka katras teritorijas klimatiskajiem faktoriem iespējamās ievērojamas variācijas ne tikai telpā, bet arī laikā. Vienā un tajā pašā vietā atsevišķu mēnešu, sezonu un gadu klimatiskie apstākļi var ievērojami atšķirties. Vērā ņemams arī apstāklis, ka līdz ar globālajām klimata pārmaiņām, mainās arī reģionālu teritoriju klimatiskie apstākļi.

Aprēķinu veikšanai tika izmantoti ilggadīgie (1991.-2019.) LVGMC novērojumu staciju Dobeles, Bauskas, Mežotnes, Jelgavas, Kalnciema, Rīgas, Rīga-Universitātes un Skultes dati: diennakts maksimālā gaisa temperatūra, diennakts minimālā gaisa temperatūra, mēnešu nokrišņu summa (septembra, oktobra un novembra mēnešiem arī dekādes nokrišņu summa). Efektīvie nokrišņi tika aprēķināti pēc aprakstītās metodikas (*Farming practices in relation to water pollution risks ...*, 2011; FAO, 2011).

Iegūtie rezultāti parādīja, ka zālājiem stabili negatīvas efektīvo nokrišņu vērtības visā īpaši jutīgajā teritorijā ir no februāra līdz novembra 1. dekādei ieskaitot, bet teritorijas dienvidu daļā (Bauskas NS un Dobeles NS) arī novembra 2. un 3. dekādei ir negatīvas vērtības. Savukārt pozitīvas efektīvo nokrišņu vērtības teritorijā uz ziemeļiem no Jelgavas ir no novembra 2. dekādes līdz janvārim ieskaitot.

Laukaugiem stabilas pozitīvas efektīvo nokrišņu vērtības ir no septembra līdz februārim ieskaitot. Tāpat tika konstatēts, ka pie efektīvo nokrišņu nelielām pozitīvām vai negatīvām vērtībām iespējamās ievērojamas šo lielumu starpgadu svārstības pozitīvo vai negatīvo lielumu virzienā.

Iegūtie rezultāti parādīja, ka atsevišķos mēnešos ir iespējamās ievērojamas efektīvo nokrišņu starpgadu svārstības, līdz ar to, nosakot mēslošanas aizlieguma periodus, būtu nepieciešams ņemt vērā katra atsevišķā gada meteoroloģisko apstākļu īpatnības.

### **Izmantotā literatūra**

Review and further differentiation of pedo-climatic zones in Europe, Part A, December 2011. In: Recommendations for establishing Action Programmes under Directive 91/676/EEC concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources” Contract number N° 07 0307/2010/580551/ETU/B1.

Farming practices in relation to water pollution risks, Part B, December 2011. In: Recommendations for establishing Action Programmes under Directive 91/676/EEC concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources” Contract number N° 07 0307/2010/580551/ETU/B1.

FAO, 2011: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/u3160e/u3160e04.htm>

## **VEĢETĀCIJAS DAUDZVEIDĪBAS ILGTERMIŅA IZMAIŅAS RANDU PĻAVĀS APSAIMNIEKOŠANAS IETEKMĒ**

**Krista Gabriela LIELNORA<sup>1</sup> (LU EZTF), Viesturs MELECIS<sup>2</sup> (LU MDzZF  
BI), Solvita Rūsiņa<sup>1</sup> (LU EZTF), Inese SILAMIKELE<sup>1</sup> (LU EZTF)**

<sup>1</sup> LU Eksakto zinātņu un tehnoloģiju fakultāte, e-pasts: [Krista-Gabriela.Lielnora@lu.lv](mailto:Krista-Gabriela.Lielnora@lu.lv);  
[Solvita.Rusina@lu.lv](mailto:Solvita.Rusina@lu.lv), [Inese.Silamikele@lu.lv](mailto:Inese.Silamikele@lu.lv); <sup>2</sup> LU MDzZF BI, e-pasts: [Viesturs.Melecis@lu.lv](mailto:Viesturs.Melecis@lu.lv)

Īpaši aizsargājamās dabas teritorijas ir platības, kas atrodas īpašā valsts aizsardzībā, lai saglabātu dabas daudzveidību un uzturētu to labā aizsardzības stāvoklī. Randu pļavas ir Latvijas mērogā unikāla teritorija, kas izceļas ar izcilu biotopu un sugu daudzveidību. Tā ir atzīta par Eiropas nozīmes aizsargājamu teritoriju, jo šeit konstatēti vairāki Eiropas Savienības aizsargājamie biotopi un sugas. Šīs īpašās nozīmes dēļ teritorija ir iekļauta Natura 2000 aizsargājamo teritoriju sarakstā. Viens no galvenajiem Randu pļavu biotopiem ir 1630 Piejūras zālāji\*, kas Latvijā aizņem 180 ha.

Līdz ar šo unikalitāti rodas nepieciešamība ilgstoši saglabāt šo teritoriju, jo, tāpat kā jebkura zālāja ekosistēma, arī piejūras pļavu veidošanās un pastāvēšana ir atkarīga no lauksaimnieciskās darbības. Tas norāda, ka sekmīgs teritorijas labas aizsardzības stāvokļa uzturēšanas priekšnoteikums ir mērķtiecīga apsaimniekošana. Balstoties uz esošajiem pētījumiem, ekosistēmā konstatētas izmaiņas, tostarp attiecībā uz augu un kukaiņu sugām, to sastopamības biežumu un sugu daudzveidību. Kopš 2013. gada Randu pļavu monitoringā ir bijis pārrāvums, taču 2024. gadā veiktas atkārtotas uzskaites.

Lai novērtētu, kā līdzšinējā apsaimniekošana ir ietekmējusi biotopu daudzveidību, veikts veģetācijas monitorings, salīdzinot datus no 1997. gada līdz 2024. gadam. Pirmie rezultāti liecina, ka sugu skaits samazinās, savukārt ekspansīvo sugu skaits daļā parauglaukumu pieaug un daļā samazinās. Spriežot pēc Ellenberga vērtību izmaiņām, šīs pārmaiņas saistās ar vides eutrofikāciju un nepietiekamu apsaimniekošanu.

# EIROPAS ILGTERMIŅA EKOLOĢISKO PĒTĪJUMU PROJEKTI FINIŠA TAISNĒ

**Viesturs MELECIS, Gunta SPRINĢE**

LU Medicīnas un dzīvības zinātņu fakultāte, Bioloģijas institūts, e-pasts: [Viesturs.Melecis@lu.lv](mailto:Viesturs.Melecis@lu.lv);

[Gunta.Springe@lu.lv](mailto:Gunta.Springe@lu.lv)

Šogad oficiāli noslēgsies 2020. gadā uzsāktie HORIZON2000 projekti eLTER PPP “*eLTER Preparatory Phase Project*” un eLTER PLUS “*European long-term ecosystem, critical zone and socio-ecological systems research infrastructure*”, kuru ievaros tika veikti priekšdarbi vienotā Eiropas Ilgtermiņa ekoloģisko pētījumu tīkla eLTER ERIC izveidošanai. Šajā darbā bija iesaistītas 23 Eiropas valstu (plus Izraēla) universitātes un pētniecības institūcijas. Projektu gaitai regulāri sekoja ārējie eksperti Projekta pagaidu padomes (*Interim Council*) ietvaros un šobrīd, kad projekti ir iegājuši finiša taisnē, viņi devuši visnotaļ pozitīvu vērtējumu sasniegtajiem rezultātiem.

1. Izstrādāti eLTER ERIC statūti, struktūras un darbības principi, vadības struktūra un datu centru struktūra. eLTER ERIC ietvers galveno biroju (*Head Office*), kas nodrošina infrastruktūras koordināciju un vadību, un atradīsies Vācijā. eLTER ERIC galvenie struktūras komponenti ir ģenerālā asambleja (GA), ģenerāldirektors, izpildkomiteja un galvenais birojs. Pakalpojumu portāls (*Topic center*) organizēs novērtējumu datu ievākšanu, kā arī sniegs pakalpojumus lietotājiem.
2. Izstrādāti principi eLTER ERIC dalībvalstu iemaksu lieluma noteikšanai. Iemaksu lielums tiek aprēķināts pēc formulas, ņemot vērā valsts iekšzemes kopproduktu (GDP) un pētījumu vietu skaitu. Latvijai prognozētais ikgadējās iemaksas lielums pēc šiem aprēķiniem varētu būt robežās no 100 000 – 135 000 EUR.
3. Izstrādāts ilgtermiņa pētījumu vietu klasifikators. Klasifikators balstās uz *Whole System Approach (WEILS)* principiem, kas nozīmē, ka visās pētījumu vietās mērījumi veicami 4 galvenajos ekosistēmas blokos *Atmosphere*, *Hydrosphere*, *Biosphere* un *Geosphere*, bet socioekoloģisko pētījumu platformās papildus *Sociosphere* blokā. eLTER ERIC ietvers tikai 1. un 2. kategorijas pētījumu vietas un LTSEER platformas. Lai pētījumu vieta atbilstu kādai no šīm kategorijām, to vērtē pēc mērījumu saraksta. Latvijā pētījumu tīkls kopš pētījumu uzsākšanas pagājušā gs. beigās ir bijis orientēts pamatā uz *Biosphere* bloku, bet tikpat kā nav veikti abiotiskās vides mērījumi *Atmosphere*, *Hydrosphere* un *Geosphere* blokos.

Lai Latvijas pētījumu vietas atbilstu 1. vai 2. kategorijai, galvenais uzdevums ir nodrošināt tās ar nepieciešamo aparāturu lauka mērījumiem.

4. Izveidots ilgtermiņa ekoloģisko un socioekoloģisko pētījumu standarta parametru saraksts un mērījumu metodikas aprakstu rokasgrāmata. Projekta ietvaros ir izstrādāta īpaša datorprogramma, kas dod iespēju sastādīt katrai konkrētajai DEIMS SDR datu bāzē pārstāvētajai pētījumu vietai obligāti realizējamo mērījumu sarakstu, lai tā varētu pretendēt uz 1. vai 2. kategorijas statusu. Programma aprēķina nepieciešamās aparātūras iegādes izmaksas un uzturēšanas aptuvenās izmaksas, paraugu ievākšanas un laboratorijas analīžu izmaksas, kā arī darba laiku, kas nepieciešams mērījumu veikšanai. Visas pētījumu vietas pēc ekosistēmu veidiem, kurus tās pārstāv, iedalītas 9 kategorijās: 1) *Wetlands* (galvenokārt purvi), 2) *Grasslands and lands dominated by forbs, mosses and lichenes*, 3) *Heathlands, shrub and tundra*, 4) *Forests and other wooded land*, 5) *Vegetated man-made habitats*, 6) *Inland surface standing waters*, 7) *Inland surface running waters*, 8) *Coastal (transitional) waters including coastal littoral zones*, 9) *Sparsely vegetated habitats and deserts*. Latvijas pētījumu vietas atbilst 2., 4., 6., 7. un 8. kategorijai. Lai uzsāktu Latvijas LTER infrastruktūras transformēšanu atbilstoši eLTER prasībām, sagatavots un IZM akceptēts "Nacionālais partnerības plāns un rīcības plāns dalībai Eiropas ilgtermiņa ekosistēmu pētījumu tīkla eLTER konsorciijā Eiropas Pētniecības infrastruktūru stratēģiskā foruma ESFRI un Eiropas Pētniecības infrastruktūru konsorciija ERIC ietvaros". Šī plāna ietvaros turpmākajos piecos gados paredzēts izveidot un nostiprināt Latvijas nacionālā tīkla struktūru, iesaistot tajā jaunus dalībniekus, veikt personāla apmācību darbā ar jaunajām metodēm. Paralēli izveidots saraksts ar pētījumiem nepieciešamajām lauka pētījumu iekārtām, kuru iegādei tiks lūgts atbalsts IZM.

## **KLIMATA PĀRMAIŅAS LATVIJĀ: KO ZINĀM PAR TO IETEKMI UZ SALDŪDEŅU BIOTU?**

**Gunta SPRINĢE<sup>1</sup>, Elga APSĪTE<sup>2</sup>, Agrita BRIEDE<sup>2</sup>, Ilga KOKORĪTE<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>LU MDzZF Bioloģijas institūts, e-pasts: [Gunta.Springe@lu.lv](mailto:Gunta.Springe@lu.lv), <sup>2</sup>LU EZTF, e-pasts

[Elga.Apsite@lu.lv](mailto:Elga.Apsite@lu.lv); [Agrita.Briede@lu.lv](mailto:Agrita.Briede@lu.lv), <sup>3</sup>LVGMC, e-pasts [Ilga.Kokorite@lvgmc.lv](mailto:Ilga.Kokorite@lvgmc.lv)

Klimata pārmaiņu ietekme ir jūtama visā pasaulē, un īpaši jutīgas pret šīm pārmaiņām ir hidroekosistēmas. Līdz šim veiktie pētījumi liecina, ka Latvijā pieaug gaisa un ūdens

temperatūra (Lizuma et al., 2007; Lizuma, 2008; Apsīte et al., 2014; Apsīte, 2018; Briede et al., 2018), pieaug dienu skaits ar ekstrēmi augstām temperatūrām un samazinās dienu skaits ar ekstrēmi zemām temperatūrām (Kļaviņš et al., 2011), samazinās ar ledu klāto dienu skaits (Apsīte et al., 2014; Latkovska, Apsīte, Elferts, 2016), palielinās dienu skaits ar spēcīgiem un ļoti spēcīgiem nokrišņiem (Kļaviņš et al., 2011) Upju hidroloģiskajam režīmam raksturīgs ziemas caurplūdumu pieaugums un pavasara caurplūdumu samazināšanās (Apsīte et al., 2013).

Mainoties šiem apstākļiem, izmainās ūdenī dominējošo jonu notece (Kļaviņš et al., 2001), kā arī biogēno elementu notece ekstrēmo nokrišņu ietekmē (Lagzdīņš et al., 2015), notiek organiskā oglekļa koncentrācijas un ūdens krāsainības pieaugums (Kokorite et al., 2012).

Augšminētās fizikālās un ķīmiskās pārmaiņas ietekmē upju un ezeru biotu.

Ir zināms, ka, palielinoties temperatūrai, pieaug producentu biomasa ūdenī. Tas konstatēts arī Latvijā, kur Salacas upē, kas ir ilgtermiņa ekoloģisko pētījumu vieta (pētījumi kopš 1982. g.), konstatēts aļģu biomasas pieaugums (Sprinģe et al., 2012).

Laikā, kad Latvijā sociāli ekonomisko pārmaiņu rezultātā bija izteikti samazināta ekonomiskā darbība sateces baseinā, tika novērota aizauguma palielināšanās Salacā (Grīnberga, Sprinģe, 2008).

Konstatēts, ka 50 gadu laikā temperatūras izmaiņas skārušas Daugavas zooplanktonu (Deksne et al., 2011).

Ilgtermiņa pētījumi par bentisko bezmugurkaulnieku izmaiņām Eiropas upēs, t.sk. Salacā, liecina, ka, līdz ar ģeogrāfiskā novietojuma un lokālo vietas apstākļu ietekmi, nozīme ir arī līdzšinējiem klimatiskajiem apstākļiem. Ir konstatētas izmaiņas taksonu sastāvā un barošanās grupu raksturojumā (Jourdan et al., 2018). Ilgtermiņa izmaiņu datu analīze par Eiropas saldūdeņu bezmugurkaulnieku kopienām ietver arī datus par izmaiņām Salacā. Kopumā pētījumā novērots kopējo taksonu skaita, funkcionālās daudzveidības un organismu daudzuma pieaugums, taču tas noticis galvenokārt pirms 2010. g., un kopš tā laika ir samazinājies (Haase et al., 2023). Plašā pētījumā, izmantojot Eiropas datu kopu par ~ 6200 jūras, saldūdens (t.sk. Salacas) un sauszemes taksoniem, atklāts, ka būtiska nozīme procesiem lokālā līmenī, kas atšķiras starp bioģeoreģioniem un taksonomiskām grupām. Tomēr vispārīga tendence ir, ka lielākajā daļā Ziemeļeiropas un Austrumeiropas bioģeoreģionu konstatēts sugu skaita un sastopamības pieaugums, palielinoties temperatūrai (Pilotto et al., 2020).

Vairākos ihtiofaunas pētījumos Latvija parādās klimata pārmaiņu ietekme uz saldūdeņu zivju sabiedrībām: pieaugusi silto ūdeņu sugu izplatība, savukārt aukstūdens sugu, izplatība ir samazinājusies (Aleksejevs, Birzaks, 2011), notiek jaunu sugu ienākšana Latvijā (Aleksejevs, Birzaks, 2011; Birzaks, Aleksejevs, Strūģis, 2011). Ilgtermiņa pētījumos Salacā novērots, ka zivju faunā ir pieaudzis toleranto zivju sugu īpatsvars, tostarp izteikta pieauguma tendence ir fitofilajām un visēdājām zivīm (Sprinģe et al., 2012). Izteikta atziņa, ka dažu sugu gadījumā ir iespējams formulēt hipotēzi par klimata pārmaiņu ietekmi.

Savukārt ūdensputnu pētījumi liecina, ka Engures ezera un tā apkāmes ligzdojošo putnu faunā 50 gadu laikā vērojamas izmaiņas – jaunpienācēji ir galvenokārt dienvidu izcelsmes sugas, bet izzudušas galvenokārt ziemeļu izcelsmes sugas (Vīksne, 2000).

### **Izmantotā literatūra:**

- Aleksejevs E., Birzaks J. (2011) Long-term changes in the ichthyofauna of Latvia's inland waters. *Environmental and Climate Technologies* 7(1): 9 - 18.
- Apsīte E. Virszemes ūdeni (2018) Nikodemus, O., Kļaviņš, M., Krišjāne, Z., Zelčs, V. (zin.red.) Latvija. Zeme, daba, tauta, valsts. 274.-313.lpp.
- Apsīte E., Elferts D., Zubaničs A., Latkovska I. (2014) Long-term changes in hydrological regime of the lakes in Latvia. *Hydrol. Res.* 45(3): 308 - 321.
- Apsīte E., Rudlapa I., Latkovska I., Elferts D. (2013) Changes in Latvian river discharge regime at the turn of the century. *Hydrol. Res.* 44(3): 554 - 569.
- Birzaks J., Aleksejevs E., Strūģis M. (2011) Occurrence and distribution of fish in rivers of Latvia. *Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Sect. B* 65(3/4): 57 - 66.
- Briede, A., Koreļska, L., Avotniece, Z., Kļaviņš, M. (2018) Atmosfēra. Nikodemus, O., Kļaviņš, M., Krišjāne, Z., Zelčs, V. (zin.red.) Latvija. Zeme, daba, tauta, valsts. 225.-267.lpp
- Deksne R., Škute A., Gruberts D., Paidere J. (2011) Effects of climate change on zooplankton community structure of the middle stretch of the Daugava River over the last 50 years. *Ecohydrology and hydrobiology* 11(1-2): 79-96.
- Grīnberga L., Sprinģe G. (2008) Potential impact of climate change on aquatic vegetation of River Salaca, Latvia. *Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Sect. B* 62(1/2): 34-39.
- Haase P., Bowler D. E., Baker N. J., Bonada N., Domisch S., Garcia Marquez J. R., ... Ozoliņš D., ... Skuja A., ... Welti E. A. (2023) The recovery of European freshwater biodiversity has come to a halt. *Nature*, 620(7974), 582 - 588.
- Jourdan J., O'Hara R.B., Bottarin R., Huttunen K.-L., Kuemmerlen M., Monteith D., Muotka T., Ozoliņš D., Paavola R., Pilotto F., Springe G., Skuja A., Sundermann A., Tonkin J.D., Haase P. (2018) Effects of changing climate on European stream invertebrate communities: A long-term data analysis. *Science of the Total Environment* 621: 588 - 599.
- Kļaviņš M., Kokorīte I., Rodinovs V., Avotniece Z., Sprinģe G. & Briede A. (2011) Hydrometeorological parameters and aquatic chemistry of Lake Engure: Trends

- of changes due to human impact and natural variability. Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Sect. B 65(5/6): 138 - 145.
- Latkovska I., Apsīte E., Elferts D. (2016) Long-term changes of the ice regime of rivers in Latvia. Hydrol. Res. nh2016012., DOI: 10.2166/nh.2016.012.
- Lagzdīņš A., Jansons V., Sudars R., Grinberga L., Veinbergs A., Abramenko K. (2015) Nutrient losses from subsurface drainage systems in Latvia. Acta Agric. Scand., Sect. B 65(sup. 1): 66 - 79.
- Lizuma L. (2008) Gaisa temperatūras un atmosfēras nokrišņu mainības raksturs Rīgā. Promocijas darbs. [The character of variations in the air temperature and precipitation in Riga. PhD thesis] LU Akadēmiskais apgāds, Rīga [in Latvian with English summary].
- Lizuma L., Kļaviņš M., Briede A. & Rodinovs V. (2007) Long-term changes of air temperature in Latvia. In: Kļaviņš M. (ed), Climate change in Latvia, LU, Rīga, pp. 11 - 20.
- Kļaviņš M., Kokorīte I., Rodinovs V., Avotniece Z., Sprinģe G., Briede A. (2011) Hydrometeorological parameters and aquatic chemistry of Lake Engure: Trends of changes due to human impact and natural variability. Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Sect. B 65(5/6): 138 - 145.
- Kļaviņš M., Rodinovs V., Kokorīte I., Kļaviņa I. & Apsīte E. (2001) Long-term and seasonal changes in chemical composition of surface waters in Latvia. Environ. Monit. Assess. 66: 233 - 251.
- Kokorīte I., Klavins M., Rodinovs V., Springe G. (2012) Trends of natural organic matter concentrations in river waters of Latvia. Environ. Monit. Assess. 184(8): 4999 - 5008.
- Pilotto F., Kühn I., Adrian R., Alber R., Alignier A., Andrews C., ...Ozoliņš D.,... Skuja A.,...Sprinģe G.,... Haase P. (2020) Meta-analysis of multidecadal biodiversity trends in Europe. Nature communications, 11(1), 3486.
- Sprinģe G., Birzaks J., Briede A., Druvietis I., Grīnberga L., Konošonoka I., Parele E., Rodinovs V., Skuja A. (2012) Climate change indicators for large temperate river: case study of the Salaca River. In: Klavins M.(ed.), Climate change in Latvia and adaptation to it. University of Latvia Press, Rīga, pp. 79 - 94.
- Vīksne J. (2000) Changes of nesting bird fauna at the Engure Ramsar site, Latvia, during the last 50 years. Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Sect. B 54(5/6): 213 - 220.

**AUGSNES EHHITREĪDU (CLITELLATA, ENCHYTRAEIDAE)  
POPULĀCIJU ILGTERMIŅA IZMAIŅAS LATVIJAS LTER  
PARAUGLAUKUMOS PRIEŽU LĀNĀ ZIEMEĻVIDZEMES  
BIOSFĒRAS REZERVĀTĀ**

**Jānis VENTIŅŠ<sup>1</sup> un Viesturs MELECIS<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Latvijas Universitātes Eksakto zinātņu un tehnoloģiju fakultāte, e-pasts: Janis.Ventins@lu.lv

<sup>2</sup>Latvijas Universitātes Medicīnas un dzīvības zinātņu fakultāte, Bioloģijas institūts, e-pasts:

Viesturs.Melecis@lu.lv

Enhitreīdas (Enchytraeidae) ir viens no nozīmīgākajiem boreālo priežu mežu augsnes faunas pārstāvjiem. Kā piemērots indikatororganisms enhitreīdas tika iekļautas Latvijas

ilgtermiņa ekoloģisko pētījumu (LTER) tīkla novērojumu vietās Ziemeļvidzemes biosfēras rezervātā. Izmaiņas to sugu sastāvā vai blīvumā var norādīt uz globālo klimata pārmaiņu ietekmi uz boreālo mežu ekosistēmām.

Ziņojumā ir analizēti dati par enhitreīdu skaita izmaiņām 19 gadu novērojumu periodā (1994.- 2012.g.) trīs dažāda vecuma priežu audzēs: 30-40 gadus (jaunaudze), 50-70 gadus (vidēja vecuma audze) un 150-200 gadus (vecaudze) vecās audzēs. Pētījumā tika konstatētas astoņas enhitreīdu sugas ar *Cognettia sphagnetorum* kā dominējošo (19 109-22 598 ind/m<sup>2</sup>). Lielākā blīvumā tika konstatētas arī izmēros mazās *Achaeta* ģints enhitreīdas (3,158-27,468 ind/m<sup>2</sup>), tomēr to telpiskais sadalījums augsnē ir ļoti nevienmērīgs, kas apgrūtina to izmantošanu augsnes stāvokļa bioindikācijā.

Saskaņā ar vietējās meteoroloģiskās stacijas datiem 1994. –2012. gados statistiski būtiskas tendences netika konstatētas ne dekadžu pozitīvo temperatūru summās (> 4 °C), ne gada nokrišņu daudzumā. Tomēr augsnes mitrums visās pētījumu vietās uzrādīja statistiski būtisku pieauguma trendu, it īpaši periodos no 1997. – 2001. un 2006. – 2012. gadam, kā arī statistiski nozīmīgu korelāciju ar gada nokrišņiem novērojumu vietās (Y: R = 0,648, P = 0,003; M: R = 0,538, P = 0,018; O: R = 0,553, 7,49, P = 0,014). *C. sphagnetorum* blīvuma izmaiņām konstatēta statistiski būtiska korelācija ar augsnes mitrumu (Y: R<sup>2</sup>=0.446, F<sub>1,17</sub> = 13,663, P = 0,002; M: R<sup>2</sup>=0,540, F<sub>1,17</sub> = 19,917, P < 0,001; O: R<sup>2</sup>=0.450, F<sub>1,17</sub> = 13,90, P = 0,002). Jāsecina, ka augsnes mitrumam ir būtiska nozīme enhitreīdu populāciju blīvuma regulācijā boreālo mežu augsnēs.

## **LONG-TERM STUDY OF FIELD ECOSYSTEMS IN PRIEKUĻI Līvija ZARIŅA**

The institute of Agricultural Resources and Economics, e-mail: livija.zarina@arei.lv

Agricultural-induced environmental changes influence a wide range of ecosystem services, including biodiversity and habitat dynamics (Dale & Polasky, 2007). Field ecosystems, as human-modified agroecosystems dominated by cultivated crops, are largely shaped by agricultural practices. Their structure and functioning are determined by species diversity, ecological processes, and interactions between biotic and abiotic factors (Power et al., 2009).

Weeds are an integral component of field ecosystems, competing with crops for nutrients, water, and light, which directly impacts productivity. Long-term agricultural management can lead to the development of distinct weed communities, shaped by the

prevailing cultivation and weed control practices (Horvath et al., 2023). The diversity of weed species over extended periods reflects the cumulative effects of soil management practices, fertilization strategies, and crop rotation systems. Changes in weed flora composition can indicate shifts in soil fertility, disturbance regimes, and competitive interactions within agroecosystems. Over decades, certain weed species may become dominant due to repeated cultivation techniques, while others may decline as a result of targeted weed control measures or alterations in nutrient availability. Understanding these dynamics provides valuable insights into the sustainability of different farming systems and their influence on weed suppression, biodiversity conservation, and overall agroecosystem stability. Weed dynamics were also studied during the long-term stationary experiment on crop rotation fertilization systems at the Priekuļi Research Center of the Institute of Agricultural Resources and Economics from 1958 to 2006. The findings confirmed that weeds serve as reliable indicators for assessing the effectiveness of applied agronomic practices (farming systems). The long-term diversity of weed species changed markedly.

## References:

- Dale, V.H., Polasky, S. (2007). Measures of the effects of agricultural practices on ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics*, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.05.009
- Horvath, D.P., Clay, S.A., Clarence J. Swanton, James V. Anderson, Wun S. Chao,(2023). Weed-induced crop yield loss: a new paradigm and new challenges, *Trends in Plant Science*, Volume 28, Issue 5, Pages 567-582, ISSN 1360-1385, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2022.12.014>.
- Power, A.G., O'Rourke, M. and Drinkwater, L.E. "VI.4 Human-Dominated Systems: Agroecosystems". *The Princeton Guide to Ecology*, edited by Simon A. Levin, Stephen R. Carpenter, H. Charles J. Godfray, Ann P. Kinzig, Michel Loreau, Jonathan B. Losos, Brian Walker and David S. Wilcove, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009, pp. 597-605. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400833023.597>